| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 10/067,480 | HANDKE, GUNTHER |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Robert J. Sandy | 3677 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: <u>RCE</u> | |
| (1) Robert J. Sandy. | (3) | |
| (2) <u>F. Brice Faller (Reg. No. 29,532)</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 20 July 2004 | Time: <u>1200</u> | |
| Type of Interview: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: none | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Suggestion regarding claim 1, line 3, where the phrase "tubular body" should be changed to joint eye since applicant had amended "tubular body" in the preamble to "joint eye". | | |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | | |